

Capstone Poster Text

Introduction

★ Criminal Justice Reform is a pressing and prevalent issue in America and like a steam pressure pot, the pressure continues to build in America as society presses the judicial system for relief from the severe overcrowding and inhumane treatment of offenders. Meanwhile, the countries comprising the Nordic prison system, (Norway, Sweden, Finland, and Denmark) are consistently maintaining lower prison populations and recidivism rates and much to the American public's surprise, the Nordic prisons have produced these astonishing results by maintaining humane rehabilitative penal systems. This research project will compare and contrast the operational differences between the two penal systems and the successes or failures of each system.

Research Question

★ The American prison system currently holds the record for the highest prison population in the world with 2,094,000 million citizens residing in the American penal system in 2018, (World Prison Brief, 2018). While the countries composing the Nordic prison system, in total, have a population of 16,521 citizens (World Prison Brief, 2018) in their prison systems, leaving a difference of 2,077,479 more citizens in the American prisons. What are the fundamental and operational differences in the American and Nordic prison systems and what are the results of each system?

Contact

★ Candace Stubblefield

- ★ California State University-Stanislaus
- ★ Candidate BA Criminal Justice-Legal Studies
- ★ University Honors Program
- ★ Email: cstubblefield@csustan.edu

Acknowledgments

- ★ With the utmost respect and gratitude, this research project is possible thanks to the phenomenal guidance and support of staff mentor Professor Jason Pourtaverdi J.D. and Professor Suditi Gupta

Background and Literature Review

- ★ If the American prison system continues to operate within the same parameters as it always has, the cost of running the system will outweigh the cost to remodel the system as a whole, (DeLuca & Miller et al., 1991).
- ★ The American punishment-minded approach ultimately has 4 major goals: retribution, incapacitation, deterrence, and rehabilitation. According to Liane Jackson, " U.S. prisons pass the first two with flying colors, but they fail abysmally on deterrence and rehabilitation,"(Jackson, 2020).
- ★ Nordic countries maintain less severe sentencing policies by creating substitutes for incarceration. In turn, crime rates have run consistently parallel for approximately 60 years, (Lappi-Seppala, Koskenniemi, et al., 2017).

Significance

- ★ American's have been conditioned to believe that punishment needs to be severe and lengthy incarceration is mandatory but "too many Americans are willing spectators to horrifying acts, as long as we're assured they're in the interest of

maintaining order,"(Stevenson, 2019). America's severe prison overcrowding has resulted in a ruling by the United States Supreme Court in 2011 in *Brown V. Plata* that "overcrowding violates inmates Eighth Amendment rights, specifically that they are denied adequate medical and mental health care," (Horne & Newman, 2015).

Method

★ Design

To examine the correlation between American and Nordic prison systems, meta-analytical and interpretative methods of qualitative secondary data analysis will be used. A special focus will be paid on the topics of recidivism, prison violence, and the differences in the punishment-minded approach versus the rehabilitative approach.

★ Materials

Archival resources include published textual materials, governmental official records, and depositories of data intended for public use in further research.

★ Procedure

I will proceed with a basic background search of both the Stanislaus State Library website and any other peer-reviewed sources available. I will then narrow my search down to specific terms and begin to evaluate and compile a list of sources and information that will prove valuable for my research topic. After careful analysis, I will begin to compile a list of comparative differences and explore the history, results, and methods behind each difference.

Resources

- ★ Brown V Plata (USsupremecourt.gov May 23, 2011).
<https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/10pdf/09-1233.pdf>.
- ★ De Luca, H. R., Miller, T. J., & Wiedemann, C. F. (1991). Punishment vs. Rehabilitation: A Proposal for Revising Sentencing Practices. *Federal Probation: A Journal of Correctional Philosophy and Practice*, LV(3), 37-45.
<https://www.ojp.gov/pdffiles1/Digitization/133881NCJRS.pdf>.
- ★ Jackson, L. (2020, February 1). Behind bars in Scandinavia, and what we can learn. *ABA Journal*.
<https://www.abajournal.com/magazine/article/behind-bars-in-scandinavia-and-what-we-can-learn>.
- ★ Lappi-Seppälä, T., & Koskenniemi, L. (2017). National and regional instruments in securing the rule of law and human rights in the Nordic prisons. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 70(1), 135–159. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-017-9723-1>
- ★ Lappi-Seppälä, T., & Tonry, M. (2011). Crime, Criminal Justice, and Criminology in the Nordic Countries. *Crime and Justice*, 40(1), 1–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/660822>
- ★ Stevenson, B. (2019, August 14). Why American Prisons Owe Their Cruelty to Slavery. *New York Times*, (The 1619 Project).
<https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/08/14/magazine/prison-industrial-complex-slavery-racism.html>.
- ★ United States Bureau of Statistics. (2021). U.S. Data and Statistics: US Gov. U.S. Data and Statistics | USAGov. <https://www.usa.gov/statistics>.

★ World Prison Brief. (2021, January 1). The United States of America. United States of America | World Prison Brief.

<https://www.prisonstudies.org/country/united-states-america>.