

California State University, Stanislaus
University Educational Policies Committee
January 8, 2004

Present: B. Carroll, D. Demetrulias, R. Esau, M. Estrella, M. Jaasma, L. Johnson, P. Peters, C. Watkins
Excused: R. Floyd, E. Hall
Guests: J. Boffman, R. Hogan

- I. **Call to Order.** C. Watkins called the meeting to order at 1:04 p.m.
- II. **Approval of Agenda.** The agenda was approved as distributed.
- III. **Approval of Minutes.** The minutes of December 4, 2003, were approved as distributed.
- IV. **Announcements/Reports.** None to report.
- V. **Old Business**
 - A. **Assessment Report: Summit Program Pilot.** M. Jaasma provided an assessment report of the Summit Program Pilot. The report includes faculty and student feedback, an analysis of student portfolios, and a comparison of traditional G.E. courses vs. summit courses. One of the original three clusters (an evening cluster) discontinued during the first year. That cluster is being offered again in a different format and seems to be more effective. Overall, the students who participated in the Summit Program Pilot had a completion rate of 78%, which included the failed cluster. M. Jaasma is proposing that the Summit Program, with modifications, be implemented as an alternative to the traditional G.E. course offerings. Modifications would include offering two-course pairs over two semesters (fall/winter, winter/spring, or fall/spring) instead of three-course clusters. Assessment of the Summit Program Pilot determined that it was difficult for students to commit to a three-course cluster over three terms. M. Jaasma will refine the Summit Program proposal to include a description of anticipated enrollment beginning fall 2004. An assessment of the Summit Program would be conducted fall 2007, if the program is approved for continuance. Discussion will continue at the next scheduled UEPC meeting.
 - B. **Draft Proposal for Issues and The Review of the 12/12/01 Academic Program Review Pilot.** R. Hogan joined the UEPC for a time certain to discuss the draft Academic Program Review Policy and Procedures document. Following discussion, the UEPC determined the document required revisions for consistency. The UEPC recommends a five-year program review cycle rather than a seven-year program review cycle. The UEPC requests that the implementation plan that was removed from the draft document remain in the document. The timeline needs to be consistent with the language throughout the document. M. Jaasma and L. Johnson will continue their work on the document. Consultation with the Graduate Council is requested and its recommendations will be considered at the next UEPC meeting. Discussion will continue at the next scheduled UEPC meeting.
 - C. **Principles of Assessment of Student Learning.** Deferred.
 - D. **New Concentration: Bachelor of Arts, Sociology – Concentration: The Body, Culture, and Society.** Deferred.

- VI. New Business**
- A. Program Review: Art (BA) Five-Year Program Review (1999/2000).** Deferred.
 - B. UEE Policy of Paying Faculty.** Deferred.
 - C. Program Revision: Bachelor of Arts, Agricultural Studies – Concentration in Agricultural Biology.** Deferred.
 - D. Request for Input Regarding University Goals and Priorities for 2004/05.** Deferred.
 - E. Program Review: Anthropology (BA) Five-Year Program Review (2001/2002).** Deferred.
 - F. Program Revision: Liberal Studies Concentration in Spanish.** Deferred.
 - G. Program Revision: Liberal Studies Concentration in Bilingual/Crosscultural: Southeast Asian.** Deferred.
- VII. Subcommittee/Committee Reports.** None to report.
- VIII. Adjournment.** The meeting adjourned at 3:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Randi Esau
Recording Secretary

:rle