

ABSTRACT OF FEE PROPOSALS

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS
 COLLABORATIVE DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES
 University Union Renovation /Expansion Project #16-262**

Fee Proposal Opening: June 3, 2016

Proposer Name	Technical Score	Small Business Preference (0% or 5%)	Proposed Total Fee in \$	Variation from Lowest Fee = Proposed Total Fee in \$ - Lowest Fee	% Variation = Variation from Lowest Fee \$ / Average Total Fee \$	Points to Deduct from Max. Possible Fee Score = % Variation * Maximum Possible Fee Score	Fee Score	Total Score	Small Business Pref % = \$50k / GMP Budget	SBE Pref Pts to be Added to SB Total Score	Adjusted Total Score for Bid Eval Purposes (Highest Score is Selected DB)	Rank
Turner Construction	352.50	5%	\$7,957,997.00	\$1,167,997.00	14.2%	11.37	68.63	421.13	0.13%	0.53	421.66	1
McCarthy Construction	313.50	0%	\$8,974,226.00	\$2,184,226.00	26.6%	21.26	58.74	372.24	0.00%	0.00	372.24	3
Bernards	254.50	0%	\$9,160,333.00	\$2,370,333.00	28.8%	23.07	56.93	311.43	0.00%	0.00	311.43	4
Otto Construction	309.50	5%	\$6,790,000.00	\$0.00	0.0%	0.00	80.00	389.50	0.13%	0.49	389.99	2
Maximum Possible Technical Score	393.00											
							Lowest Fee	\$6,790,000.00				
							Average Fee	\$8,220,639.00				
							Maximum Possible Fee Score	80.00				
							GMP Budget	\$40,000,000.00				

I certify that this is a true calculation of proposal quality point scores and fee proposal scores.

Recorder: Debra DaRosa
 Debra DaRosa, Lead Buyer

Announcer: Melody Maffei
 Melody Maffei, AVP Capital Planning

Notes for Recorder/Announcer:
 Blue & yellow fields are calculated.
 ENTER DATA into orange cells. (Delete sample data shown below.)
 Yellow cells are the SCORES. Scores below zero are shown as zero.