

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Faculty Rank

5. The RPT policy and procedure's review criteria are clearly communicated to:										
	The Candidate	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1	Assistant Professor	25	68	5	14	7	19	0	0	37
	Associate Professor	25	71	5	14	5	14	0	0	35
	Professor	47	70	11	16	7	10	2	3	67
	Other (Please specify)	3	75	1	25	0	0	0	0	4
	Totals	100	70	22	15	19	13	2	1	143
2	Department RPT Committees	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	18	49	4	11	3	8	12	32	37
	Associate Professor	27	77	3	9	3	9	2	6	35
	Full Professor	50	75	10	15	4	6	3	4	67
Professor	3	75	1	25	0	0	0	0	4	
Totals	98	69	18	13	10	7	17	12	143	
3	Deans	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	11	30	5	14	1	3	20	54	37
	Associate Professor	16	46	8	23	0	0	11	31	35
	Professor	30	45	14	21	4	6	19	28	67
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	0	0	1	25	4	
Totals	59	41	28	20	5	3	51	36	143	
4	The URPTC	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	12	33	5	14	0	0	19	53	36
	Associate Professor	18	51	4	11	0	0	13	37	35
	Professor	37	55	13	19	3	4	14	21	67
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	0	0	1	25	4	
Totals	69	49	23	16	3	2	47	33	142	
5	The Provost	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	10	27	5	14	2	5	20	54	37
	Associate Professor	13	37	5	14	3	9	14	40	35
	Professor	26	39	13	19	5	7	23	34	67
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4	
Totals	50	35	24	17	10	7	59	41	143	
6	The President	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	10	27	6	16	0	0	21	57	37
	Associate Professor	13	37	6	17	2	6	14	40	35
	Professor	26	39	13	19	4	6	24	36	67
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4	
Totals	50	35	26	18	6	4	61	43	143	

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Faculty Rank

6. The candidate's rights related to the RPT policy and procedure are clearly communicated to:											
1	The Candidate	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	25	68	4	11	8	22	0		0
Associate Professor	25	71	4	11	6	17	0	0	35		
Professor	43	65	10	15	9	14	4	6	66		
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	1	25	0	0	4		
Totals		95	67	19	13	24	17	4	3	142	
2	Department RPT Committees	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	13	35	4	11	1	3	19		51
Associate Professor	23	66	5	14	4	11	3	9	35		
Professor	41	63	14	22	5	8	5	8	65		
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	1	25	0	0	4		
Totals		79	56	24	17	11	8	27	19	141	
3	Deans	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	9	24	4	11	0	0	24		65
Associate Professor	15	43	6	17	0	0	14	40	35		
Professor	29	45	11	17	4	6	21	32	65		
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4		
Totals		54	38	22	16	4	3	61	43	141	
4	The URPTC	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	10	27	3	8	0	0	24		65
Associate Professor	15	43	7	20	0	0	13	37	35		
Professor	33	51	13	20	1	2	18	28	65		
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4		
Totals		59	42	24	17	1	1	57	40	141	
5	The Provost	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	8	22	3	8	1	3	25		68
Associate Professor	14	40	6	17	0	0	15	43	35		
Professor	24	37	11	17	4	6	26	40	65		
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4		
Totals		47	33	21	15	5	4	68	48	141	
6	The President	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	8	22	4	11	0	0	25		68
Associate Professor	14	40	6	17	0	0	15	43	35		
Professor	21	33	12	19	3	5	27	43	63		
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4		
Totals		44	32	23	17	3	2	69	50	139	

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Faculty Rank

7. The candidate's responsibilities related to the RPT policy and procedure are clearly communicated to:											
1	The Candidate	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	26	70	5	14	6	16	0		0
Associate Professor	25	71	5	14	5	14	0	0	35		
Professor	50	76	5	8	9	14	2	3	66		
Other (Please specify)	3	75	1	25	0	0	0	0	4		
Totals		104	73	16	11	20	14	2	1	142	
2	Department RPT Committees	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	13	35	4	11	3	8	17		46
Associate Professor	21	60	7	20	5	14	2	6	35		
Professor	50	76	9	14	4	6	3	5	66		
Other (Please specify)	3	75	1	25	0	0	0	0	4		
Totals		87	61	21	15	12	8	22	15	142	
3	Deans	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	9	24	4	11	1	3	23		62
Associate Professor	12	34	6	17	1	3	16	46	35		
Professor	31	47	9	14	4	6	22	33	66		
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	0	0	1	25	4		
Totals		54	38	20	14	6	4	62	44	142	
4	The URPTC	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	10	27	3	8	1	3	23		62
Associate Professor	13	37	6	17	1	3	15	43	35		
Professor	37	56	10	15	1	2	18	27	66		
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	0	0	1	25	4		
Totals		62	44	20	14	3	2	57	40	142	
5	The Provost	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	8	22	3	8	1	3	25		68
Associate Professor	13	37	6	17	1	3	15	43	35		
Professor	28	42	9	14	3	5	26	39	66		
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4		
Totals		50	35	19	13	5	4	68	48	142	
6	The President	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
		Assistant Professor	8	22	4	11	0	0	25		68
Associate Professor	12	34	6	17	1	3	16	46	35		
Professor	27	41	10	15	3	5	26	39	66		
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4		
Totals		48	34	21	15	4	3	69	49	142	

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Faculty Rank

8. The following questions are designed to examine your views related to department elaboration. These questions deal with both the existing policy and procedures (as conceptualized) and the implementation of the RPT policy and procedures.										
1	Current elaborations in your academic department are open to too much interpretation.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	14	38	4	11	1	3	18	49	37
	Associate Professor	8	23	5	14	0	0	22	63	35
	Professor	16	24	11	16	0	0	40	60	67
Other (Please specify)	0	0	1	25	0	0	3	75	4	
Totals		38	27	21	15	1	1	83	58	143
2	There is lack of consistency in elaborations from departments across campus.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	13	35	4	11	15	41	5	14	37
	Associate Professor	12	39	5	16	11	35	3	10	31
	Professor	36	55	14	22	10	15	5	8	65
Other (Please specify)	2	50	1	25	1	25	0	0	4	
Totals		63	46	24	18	37	27	13	9	137
3	There is a need for greater consistency in department elaborations across campus.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	9	24	3	8	8	22	17	46	37
	Associate Professor	2	6	8	23	6	17	19	54	35
	Professor	19	28	9	13	3	4	36	54	67
Other (Please specify)	0	0	2	50	0	0	2	50	4	
Totals		30	21	22	15	17	12	74	52	143
4	Current elaborations in your academic program DO NOT provide candidates with enough guidance as they progress through the RPT process.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	13	35	2	5	0	0	22	59	37
	Associate Professor	6	18	3	9	0	0	25	74	34
	Professor	15	22	8	12	0	0	44	66	67
Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4	
Totals		35	25	14	10	0	0	93	65	142
5	Current elaborations in your academic program provide probationary faculty with a clear understanding of expectations of performance.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	23	62	3	8	2	5	9	24	37
	Associate Professor	20	59	7	21	0	0	7	21	34
	Professor	42	63	15	22	0	0	10	15	67
Other (Please specify)	3	75	1	25	0	0	0	0	4	
Totals		88	62	26	18	2	1	26	18	142
6	The administration uses the department elaborations as the review criteria.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	12	32	2	5	13	35	10	27	37
	Associate Professor	9	26	7	20	6	17	13	37	35
	Professor	23	34	16	24	10	15	18	27	67
Other (Please specify)	0	0	2	50	1	25	1	25	4	
Totals		44	31	27	19	30	21	42	29	143

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Faculty Rank

7	Departmental RPT committees inflate the achievement of candidates.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	2	5	4	11	4	11	27	73	37
	Associate Professor	2	6	5	14	2	6	26	74	35
	Professor	13	19	12	18	5	7	37	55	67
	Other (Please specify)	1	25	1	25	0	0	2	50	4
	Totals	18	13	22	15	11	8	92	64	143

9. The following items are designed to better understand your views related to trust in the RPT policy and procedures.

1	The RPT policy and procedures are WRITTEN in a manner that provides the candidates with a fair review.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	28	78	2	6	6	17	36
	Associate Professor	28	80	1	3	6	17	35
	Professor	54	81	10	15	3	4	67
	Other (Please specify)	3	75	1	25	0	0	4
	Totals	113	80	14	10	15	11	142

2	The RPT policy and procedures are IMPLEMENTED in a manner that provides candidates with a fair review.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Assistant Professor	18	49	9	24	10	27	37
	Associate Professor	9	26	12	34	14	40	35
	Professor	39	58	18	27	10	15	67
	Other (Please specify)	1	25	3	75	0	0	4
	Totals	67	47	42	29	34	24	143

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Status

1. The items below are designed to examine your views on the role of discipline expertise related to the existing RPT policy and procedures. These items are not intended to examine how well the policy is implemented or followed, but rather to focus on how the policy is conceptualized.

1	Faculty in the candidate's specific discipline is the best position to determine what is most germane to retention, tenure and promotion.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	43	96	1	2	1	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	62	95	2	3	1	2	65	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		106	95	3	3	2	2	111
2	Given disciplinary differences, faculty at the discipline level possess expertise that is core to the development of department elaborations.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	44	98	1	2	0	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	63	98	0	0	1	2	64	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		108	98	1	1	1	1	110
3	Faculty members in the candidate's department or program understand their discipline, which makes them better able to assess the candidate's scholarly accomplishments.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	41	91	4	9	0	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	64	98	1	2	0	0	65	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		106	95	5	5	0	0	111
4	Faculty members in the candidate's department or program understand the constraints of the department or program, which makes them better able to assess the candidate's scholarly accomplishments.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	41	91	4	9	0	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	62	95	2	3	1	2	65	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		104	94	6	5	1	1	111
5	The evaluation conducted by the department RPT committee should guide and inform other levels of review that occur outside the department.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	39	87	5	11	1	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	58	89	5	8	2	3	65	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		98	88	10	9	3	3	111

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Status

2. The following questions are designed to examine your views of the various levels of review in the existing RPT policy and procedures. These questions are not intended to examine how "well" the policy is "implemented" or followed but rather how it is conceptualized:

1	A strength of the existing RPT policy is that it involves multiple levels of review.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	A "candidate" with a file in review	37	80	6	13	3	7	46
	A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	55	85	6	9	4	6	65
	Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1
	Totals	93	83	12	11	7	6	112
2	A strength of the existing RPT policy is that the first level of review (departmental review) is the most important review.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	A "candidate" with a file in review	35	76	6	13	5	11	46
	A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	60	92	2	3	3	5	65
	Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1
	Totals	96	86	8	7	8	7	112
3	The URPTC provides valuable oversight to the process because it helps mitigate biased reviews at other levels.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	A "candidate" with a file in review	31	69	13	29	1	2	45
	A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	50	77	9	14	6	9	65
	Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1
	Totals	82	74	22	20	7	6	111
4	Administration's review provides valuable oversight to the process because it helps mitigate biased reviews at other levels.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	A "candidate" with a file in review	20	44	19	41	7	15	46
	A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	30	46	22	34	13	20	65
	Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1
	Totals	51	46	41	37	20	18	112

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Status

3. The following questions are designed to probe your views regarding clarity of the RPT policy and procedures.

1	The RPT policy and procedures are well documented in terms of the timeline.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	43	93	1	2	2	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	64	97	2	3	0	0	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		108	96	3	3	2	2	113
2	The RPT policy and procedures are well documented in terms of the review criteria.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	29	63	9	20	8	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	46	70	13	20	7	11	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		76	67	22	19	15	13	113
3	The RPT policy and procedures are well documented in terms of the candidate's rights.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	31	67	6	13	9	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	49	74	12	18	5	8	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		81	72	18	16	14	12	113
4	The RPT policy and procedures are well documented in terms of the candidate's responsibilities.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	36	78	6	13	4	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	52	79	9	14	5	8	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		89	79	15	13	9	8	113

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Status

4. The RPT policy and procedure's <i>timeline</i> is clearly communicated to:										
1	The Candidate	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	41	89	2	4	3	7	0	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	60	91	3	5	1	2	2	3	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		102	90	5	4	4	4	2	2	113
2	Department RPT Committees	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	34	74	0	0	2	4	10	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	60	91	2	2	3	5	1	2	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		95	84	2	2	5	4	11	10	113
3	Deans	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	21	46	3	7	0	0	22	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	42	64	5	8	1	2	18	27	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		64	57	8	7	1	1	40	35	113
4	The URPTC	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	20	43	3	7	0	0	23	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	45	68	5	8	0	0	16	24	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		66	58	8	7	0	0	39	35	113
5	The Provost	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	20	43	2	4	1	2	23	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	33	50	7	11	1	2	24	36	65	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		54	48	9	8	2	2	47	42	112
6	The President	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		UNSURE/DON'T KNOW		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
		A "candidate" with a file in review	20	43	3	7	0	0	23	
A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	33	50	7	11	1	2	25	38	66	
Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Totals		54	48	10	9	1	1	48	42	113

RPT Survey, Breakdown by Status

9. The following items are designed to better understand your views related to trust in the RPT policy and procedures.								
1	The RPT policy and procedures are WRITTEN in a manner that provides the candidates with a fair review.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	A "candidate" with a file in review	34	76	3	7	8	18	45
	A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	56	85	6	9	4	6	66
	Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	1	100	0	0	0	0	1
Totals		91	81	9	8	12	11	112
2	The RPT policy and procedures are IMPLEMENTED in a manner that provides candidates with a fair review.	AGREE		NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE		DISAGREE		TOTAL RESPONSES
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
	A "candidate" with a file in review	19	41	15	33	12	26	46
	A "reviewer" of a candidate's file	38	58	16	24	12	18	66
	Both a candidate with a file in review and a reviewer of a candidate's file	0	0	1	100	0	0	1
Totals		57	50	32	28	24	21	113