

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY,

STANISLAUS

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES

November 23, 1999

8/AS/99/UEPC-GC-MA Criminal

PRESENT: AbuKhalil, Apodaca, Aronson, Justice - First Reading

Bowers, Christopher, Clark, Costa, Next Academic Senate Meeting:

Cruz, Curry, Filling, Finley, Fisk,

Gackowski, Hernandez, Mayer, Tuesday, December 7, 1999

Miller-Antonio, Moren, Pandell, 2:30-4:30 p.m., South Dining

Phillips, Russ, Schulz, Thomas, Room

Thompson, Tordoff, Tuedio, Villanueva,

Weikart, Wolf, Zarling

Minutes submitted by:

PROXIES: Crawford (Hor), Humphreys

(Keymer), Pallota (Nelson),

Jasek-Rysdall (Peterson), Grant

(Riedmann)

ABSENT: Bettencourt, Cartwright, Chu,

Dinse, Farrar, Hilpert, Olivant Steven Filling, Clerk

GUESTS: Boffman, Chaing, Demetrulias,

Doraz, Klein, O'Brien

Recording Secretary: n/a

The meeting was called to order at 2:35 pm by Speaker Tordoff. The agenda was approved as submitted. Zarling noted that the motion to substitute [p.5] passed without dissent and should be so recorded. The minutes of October 26, 1999 were then approved by consensus.

REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

a. Speaker/SEC (Tordoff)

1. Tordoff reported that the Asilomar conference was productive, and that

some discussion revolved around the FMI process and the relationship between the CFA and faculty governance. Spence spoke at one of the sessions, and emphasized that all campuses had responded to the accountability draft, and that those responses had led him to alter the document that was presented to the Trustees. Curry noted that the additional items related to Chancellor's Office accountability. There was also a category 13 added, Faculty Scholarship.

2. Next year is CSU Stanislaus' 40th anniversary, and a committee is working on events. These will begin with Labor Day weekend 2000, one assumes convocation will be the kickoff, and will continue through Labor Day 2001. Plans are still forming.

3. ALS are going to be looking at how we can improve recruiting on campus [getting the message out to high schools and targeting particular students we would want]. Plans are for on campus activities as well as making lists of students displaying an interest in CSUS [so we could call them].

AbuKhalil asked what do we use to categorize "Students we would want?"

Tordoff replied by program, not gpa etc. What has been done in the past is provide departments a means of identifying students. Klein noted that music does this a lot. Department faculty are much better able to provide expertise.

4. About to start student evaluations for fall. Diana Saugstad is not here, but her office should be open as usual except Thursday mornings. They will be open evenings until 8pm [not 9pm as in the past!]. There is a drop box beside the door which we can use if needed.

5. Holiday greetings are cordially conveyed to all.

b. University Educational Policies Committee (Aronson)

UEPC now have a secretary to assist the subcommittees. Also, the GE Task Force will be before the Senate on December 7 with their GE recommendations

c. Faculty Affairs Committee (Schulz)

We have had several meetings dealing with the FMI questionnaire for departments. We are also preparing a questionnaire for faculty individually.

We would like as many responses as possible and would like these back by December 10. AVP Bowers has been at our last few meetings to discuss post tenure review. We have a rough draft of our thinking and will be refining it to bring to senate [probably in February or March]. Note that this is being done per MOU requirements, as with range distribution policy [ssi interpretations].

d. Faculty Budget Advisory Committee (Fisk)

FBAC met with Keymer and had a productive discussion. As reported the last time Senate met FBAC continues to be concerned about its constitutional role. We had arranged for the FBAC chair and Speaker Tordoff to meet with President Hughes but that turned out not to involve the FBAC chair.

e. Graduate Council

We had a special meeting to consider the proposed MA in Criminal Justice and are also working on 5 year review of Education programs.

f. Statewide Academic Senate (Russ/Thompson)

SWAS Report distributed over Facnet.

Thompson noted that there were several foks at the Asilomar meeting complimenting our accountability resolution. Chief academic officer Spence made the point that he has an initiative to improve consultation [some laughter ensued] which may be important given timing issues. Spence promised to improve consultation. The first conerstone for that is to involve faculty early in agenda setting [which has been the biggest complaint]. Thompson will take part locally to encourage administrators to involve faculty early in agenda setting.

g. Associated Students (Cartwright/Villanueva)

No report.

h. Other

RSCAPC [O'Brien]

O'Brien stated that the research scholarhsip and creative activity policy committee was constituted by the Senate last year. The committee is working

on:

a) creating a faculty research award

b) looking at defining research on campus [not an easy task]

c) working on IRB policy [with the human subjects committee] - we will be seeking Senate approval on this document as is due to federal guidelines that must be followed.

FIRST READING ITEM

a. 8/AS/99/UEPC-GC-MA Criminal Justice

MS Bowers/Aronson:

WHEREAS: The Curriculum and Resources Committee of the College of Arts, Letters, and Sciences; the Dean of the College of Arts, Letters, and Sciences; the University Educational Policies Committee; and the Graduate Council approved and support the implementation of the Master of Arts in Criminal Justice degree program; be it

RESOLVED: That the Master of Arts in Criminal Justice degree program be approved for implementation in fall 2001.

Aronson stated that the resolution before you [and attached packet] has gone through ALS, GC and UEPC review with minor changes [which are reflected]. We have Criminal Justice faculty here who can answer questions.

AbuKhalil noted that it seems the tendency is to create more graduate programs. Is there any concern that the library is not keeping up? Is it fair to students? Doraz replied we have done a review, and our hope is that with existing budget and assistance of minimal supplementation we will be able to get to 'reasonable'. We have to accept some degree of satisficing in this respect. AbuKhalil asked so you are committed to get these resources? Doraz replied as many as we can. Accreditation requires some - we're not bound at present but will use what resources we can. Chiang noted that what is planned is a teaching emphasis program due to a very real lack of teachers. Nationally this is a rare program and advanced degrees are hot items. We are working on joint programs with other CSU campuses. Of course the program consists of research methods with theoretical background and

contemporary issues. With respect to the library, yes there are journals we would like - there are 21 on the list, we don't expect to get them all. We've been patient about many things, hopefully this will come around soon. Doraz noted that this campus does have an excellent law collection due to the efforts of Dr. Rhodes. So we have a good beginning. This list is thus smaller than it might have been. We can make a dent in this just with resources for this year.

Schulz noted that there is a high degree of 'outside' interest in the program which is a real strength.

Grant stated that one of the things the program emphasizes is strong theoretical and practical tracks. We do need an extremely wide array of resources. Given that all programs have interdisciplinary aspects, CJ is more so than most. As to getting some of these titles, students in other disciplines would benefit as well.

Demetrulias stated that as dean of graduate studies she has worked with CJ on this as it is an administrative responsibility to seek resources. We have an assessment of dollar effects in an attachment which will go to the Chancellor's Office with the program request. Bengston and Cullinan have worked on this also. There have been increased resources to the library. Demetrulias noted that she doesn't feel that these are faculty concerns, rather administrative concerns. This program proposal is a summary document.

AbuKhalil questioned page 23 - internship requirements for students - what criteria are used to determine adequacy? Are they not biased in favour of law enforcement? [due to area of country and conservative nature of area] Doraz replied that the original intent of program framers was recognition that someone must come with experience. The intent was that no-experience students get some experience. We would assist them in getting some. One of the placements would be in the public defenders office [which targets precisely those you are concerned about].

Tuedio asked about p 19 - faculty resources, You say you're looking for

assoc/asst for the program coordinator position - are you recruiting? Doraz replied that .75 support is for present staff who will teach graduate courses to remove the deficit at undergraduate level. One position, which is being recruited, will generate its own FTE. Thus we are planning one in 2000 and one in 2001

Villanueva questioned how fair is this program to non-teaching aspiring students? The online survey indicates only 22% want to teach. Doraz replied that the purpose is to provide skills to those returning to CJ posts who will need skills in how to train others.

Tordoff noted that this will be a second reading item at the next Senate meeting, and asked Senators to review the proposal before that time.

INFORMATION ITEM

a. Proposal for Year Round Operations

Curry stated that he appreciated the opportunity to share this information with faculty. You are aware that there are 4-5 policy groups dealing with educational opportunity - that is the mission of this university. The degree of surge from Tidal Wave II expected is uncertain, but there is no disagreement about the surge. This goes for teaching and all other disciplines. With all of these studies, we have been presented with possibility of year round operations [better use of facilities]. This is in the governor's agenda and legislative analyst focus. The Director of the department of finance is conducting a feasibility analysis on yro. The next study [supplemental report of 1999 budget act] has been mandated by budget. So some funds were allocated [\$2.4M]. A Systemwide steering committee is controlling this. The funds are for planning only. Our campus chose to participate in creating the discussion since we will have to live with the results. We thought this was wiser than doing it anyway without funding. 10 CSU campuses were funded.

This in no way is to teach yro next year - we will not have a pilot project unless we are separately funded. That request is in, we have not had a response. This is planning funding to study the larger campus-wide impact.

Basic tenet was don't even submit unless this produces more teachers. Our Education folks are going to go yro anyway, it is only a question of how at this point. I've asked Boffman to lead our campus yro study.

Boffman noted that materials handed out speak to goals of the study. Part of our intent is to effectively communicate with those affected [all], make recommendations to the campus and report to the Chancellors Office. This is really a two-pronged effort to explore impact and design a pilot study. The pilot will focus on teacher ed, but a few other programs may be involved. We are requesting campus-wide involvement. Eight faculty and four administrators will be involved. The planning committee will be responsible for making sure the report is prepared by May. We are looking at all possible implications [academic programs, GE issues, university governance, university operations, public safety, student services, academic calendar, marketing , assessment]. This study will be strongly evaluated [with assistance from AVP Hogan] and will use some of the funds to evaluate student needs throughout our six county area. Open forums are already scheduled to solicit faculty and student input.

Bill AB-126 is the current legislative item dealing with this. As the second page notes, there is no intention to change the academic year to 12 month. There is wide respect for the academic year as it exists now. Likewise there is no intent to force students to 12 month attendance. There is a 'reasonable' regard for the ten month contract.

Weikart asked on page 3 - b1,2 it seems pretty clear this is going to necessitate a quarter system. Especially considering the 'same level' restrictions on providing courses/services. Christopher noted the demographic growth for the state as a whole, and asked if our campus expected similar growth. Curry replied that the central valley is the second fastest growing bit of California.

Clark asked if there is there a yro model. Boffman replied that there are four campuses with quarter system now that have information we can use. UCB

has some data also.

Clark asked if tuition would be equivalent. Boffman replied that it can't be more than regular tuition.

Thompson noted that the materials mentioned student faculty ratio - another big concern is tenure track ration - is that an issue for the academic program issues group? Boffman agreed that would be a good issue to discuss.

Tordoff asked where do we see this intersecting with faculty governance?

Boffman stated that when it comes to faculty governance [when it is an issue for this campus], this data will be background.

Zarling suggested that if summer school were positioned as cheaper there would be a larger enrollment. Seems a really important parameter of this study would be campus size. Boffman replied that the study would look at it as function of department size.

Tuedio offered his hope that our response would be tailored to contingencies we live with. The resolution mandates that we be running at 60% by 2005, more later. It also mandates we have "fully representative" curriculum in summer. The suspicion is that this is growth on the margin rather than fully funded growth. We need to make a strong point that margin funding won't work. This raises questions about how the whole university functions.

Grant asked how the proposed program would compete with extant summer sessions. Tuedio suggested that it would replace it. Boffman stated that we are looking for ways to coordinate with extended education. Curry stated that if the pilot program is approved, 99% of the summer schedule would work as usual.

Pandell asked what is the likelihood that funding for the pilot would be approved? Curry stated that current best estimate is greater than 50%.

Curry thanked Senators for their input, noting that a lot of good points were raised.

Meeting adjourned at 16:32.