1. Call to order  
2:05pm

2. Approval of Agenda  
Approved.

3. Approval of Academic Senate Minutes of May 14, 2013 (distributed electronically)  
Approved.

4. Introductions  
Speaker Garcia welcomed everyone to the new school year and he hopes that things are out to a good start. Speaker Garcia introduced the new Senate Executive Committee. The following guests were welcomed: Deans James Tuedio, Linda Nowak, and Reza Kamali. Oddmund Myhre, and Annie Hor. Dennis Shimek, Chuck Gonzales, John Tillman, Brian Duggan, Marge Jaasma, Lisa Bernardo, Mahoud Lamadanie, Ashour Badal, Martyn Gunn, and Lauren Byerly.

5. Announcements  
Marvin Hooker announced that there is a vacancy for a Faculty Position on the ASI Board of Directors. He will contact CoC to find a faculty member to serve on this Board.

Brian Duggan noted that Wednesday, September 25th is the 10th Annual Technology Fair which will be held in the MSR lobby. This event is open to faculty, students, staff and the campus community, and will feature demonstrations of instructional technology, innovations by our own faculty, and technology vendors.
Betsy Eudey distributed a flyer which included many activities taking place in the FDC including Dr. Daniel Soodjinda, Assistant Professor of Liberal Studies, speaking on Instructional Technology At Its Best on Wednesday, September 4th, in FDC 118. She also reminded us of the Fiction and Non-Fiction book clubs and distributed several books.

6. Committee Reports/Questions
No reports today but committee chairs will provide regular reports to the Senate.

7. Information Items:
   a. Clicker Training
Speaker Garcia said that we moved to clicker voting a couple of years ago. We added labels to the iclickers to identify voting as A,B,C.

8. Consent Items
   a. 32/AS/13/SEC – 2013/2014 Standing Rules of the Academic Senate (Sense of the Senate)
The Standing Rules have not changed. Please review and make sure you understand how the Senate operates.

9. Discussion Items
   a. Enrollment Targets (Suzanne Espinoza)
The target from the Chancellor’s Office was 6715 originally, but was negotiated up to 6877. Currently it is 7394, and it appears we will be 4% above target. We are within what the Chancellor’s Office allows. We are now in a containment mode. Enrollment exceeding the allowable excess may not be subsidized, a cause for concern. Strong added that, if we are unable to get subsidy for given enrollments, we wouldn’t have that in the historical base. It would be a problem. He also clarified that departments have targets and that questions should be referred to deans. We are still taking applications for spring from all student groups (undergraduate, graduate, etc.). So far as strategies for the coming year, we are in a waiting mode. In the past couple of years, decisions or changes are sometimes made late.

   b. Advising Update (Martyn Gunn)
Speaker Garcia noted that we discussed this topic last year and that Gunn providing an update.

NSO had two new facets: more faculty involvement and self-registration by students. He thanked the faculty involved and noted the self-registration went well. Last year there were 4700 walk-in students for advising an increase of 940 from last year. About 2700 came for academic advising. One current goal is to get more departments involved in advising undergrads. This fall, in response to new policy, the advising center will be advising all undeclared students. For pre-nursing students, the center will advise students who appear not to be meeting the criteria to enter the nursing program. The Chancellor’s Office is promoting e-advising through a four-year initiative, which means fuller implementation of degree audit and automation of other tasks including smoother registration for students while allowing better prediction of course
enrollment. The scheduling program should be functional in time for fall 2014 registration. The center will also incorporate group advising and assistance in selection of majors.

c. Strategic Plan 2007-2012 Survey Results (Jim Strong)
The Strategic Plan Working Group (SPWG)\(^1\) has reviewed the survey results. An important question is where will we go next? The President has asked the Provost to lead the development of new strategic plan.

The focus of the current plan is on three broad themes:

1. student engagement, development, and academic achievement;
2. support for teaching, learning, and scholarship; and
3. the University and the community.

The campus went through a fully consultative process to select specific strategic actions, resulting in the selection of seven priorities:

1. Continue excellent programs
2. Develop new programs
3. Comprehensive student advising
4. Recruiting and retaining diverse faculty
5. Professional development of staff
6. Comprehensive library resources and services
7. Technology services and support

None of the priorities fell under the theme of the University and the community.

The 2012 survey to assess the plan achieved a healthy 53% response from faculty, staff, and administrators. However, no external stakeholders were consulted. The SPWG and Institutional Research released a report to the campus. Key findings included a lack in understanding the Plan, non-systematic implementation, and assessment and monitoring driven by accreditation and academic program review. The implications are that we need to build on a plan that provides direction through better communication to aid implementation, budgeting, and assessment.

Regalado queried whether we have an administrative statement on shared governance. As responding to the survey may be problematic without such a statement.

There may be a new plan or the President may be open to a revision of the current plan. The current plan has not been updated since 2007, but it was clear from the survey that the plan is valued. We can build on the good work that has been accomplished so far. It is unclear what group will lead the effort to create or revise a plan. We need to critique both the current plan and the planning process. Also, SPWG and University Budget Advisory Committee (UBAC) have

\(^1\) The Speaker, the Speaker-elect, a Faculty Representative recommended by the Committee on Committees (COC), the Director of Institutional Research, the VP for Student Affairs, and the Provost
each discussed the intersection and integration of the work of the two committees, in part to address the criticism that the plan is not central decision-making and budgeting.

An important question is whether the Plan developed in 2007 is still applicable to the current situation on campus and in the state.

Carroll noted that we should be careful not to let the process of working on a plan become an excuse to delay necessary actions.

10. Open Forum
    None.

11. Adjournment
    3pm