

California State University, Stanislaus
University Educational Policies Committee
April 6, 2000

Present: M. Aronson, J. Elliott, C. Floyd, R. Floyd, A. Karr, A. Petrosky, K. Potts, and R. Weikart
Excused: B. Betts, D. Demetrulias, and M. Thompson
Guests: K. Fitzgerald and T. Yaghi (student visitors), and J. Klein

I. **Call to Order.** M. Aronson called the meeting to order at 1:05 p.m.

II. **Approval of Agenda.** The agenda was approved distributed.

III. **Approval of Minutes.** The minutes of March 23, 2000 were approved as distributed.

IV. **Announcements/Reports**

M. Aronson reported that the Faculty Affairs Committee has come forward with a constitutional amendment for the composition of the UEPC subcommittees.

V. **Old Business**

A. **Master Academic Plan.** J. Klein reported that the MAP committee has not met.

B. **CSU Accountability Plan—Campus Accountability Report Format.** M. Aronson reported UEPC's action regarding campus-specific indicators for performance area 10 (quality of graduate and post-baccalaureate programs) to the SEC on April 4. A resolution is being forwarded to the Academic Senate as a consent item for the Senate's April 11 meeting.

C. **Program Review: MA/MS Interdisciplinary Studies Program (memo from APR subcommittee).** Following discussion it was moved by R. Weikart, seconded by A. Petrosky, and voted unanimously to approve the revisions to the program review for the MA/MS Interdisciplinary Studies Program, as stated in the March 2, 2000 memorandum from the Academic Program Review Subcommittee to M. Aronson. M. Aronson will communicate this decision to W. Tordoff, Chair, Interdisciplinary Studies Program.

VI. **New Business.**

A. **Program Review: Gerontology Minor (memo from the College of Arts, Letters, and Sciences).** The Gerontology program's five-year program review was due February 15, 1997. The Dean of the College of Arts, Letters, and Sciences notified the coordinator of the Gerontology Minor program on September 9, 1999 that if the program review was not received by February 15, 2000, the College would have no other option than to recommend to UEPC the discontinuance of the program (University policy states that "failure to comply with program review deadlines will force the University Educational Policies Committee to consider program discontinuance"). The UEPC received a correspondence from the Associate Dean of the College of ALS referring the matter to the UEPC for further action.

Following discussion it was moved by C. Floyd, seconded by R. Weikart, and approved (with one abstention) that a memorandum be sent to the chair of the Department of Sociology/Criminal Justice. The communication will state that if the Gerontology Minor program review is not submitted to J. Elliott in the Office of Academic Programs by 10:00 a.m. on Monday, May 1, the UEPC will proceed with discussion regarding the possible discontinuance of the Gerontology Minor program.

VII. **Subcommittee Reports.**

The committee reviewed the 3/1/00 and 3/8/00 minutes of the General Education subcommittee, the 11/30/99 minutes of the Off-Campus/Distance Learning Subcommittee, and the 2/24/00 minutes of the University Writing Committee.

VIII. Other—Information Items.

1. *California School of Professional Psychology*. Deferred.
2. *Proposed Title 5 Revisions: Admission Criteria*. Deferred.
3. ***Proposed Wellness Center—Stockton Campus***. P. LaVeck and C. Morgan presented a proposal for a Wellness Center to be housed at the Stockton Center. P. LaVeck shared the history behind the proposal and stated that the process for the formation of the Center has been accelerated because the funding must be expended by June 30, 2000. Funding is from the State of California to be used for the CalWorks population (California residents who are unemployed and are responsible for parenting minor children). Each county determines how monies will be used.

The following questions/comments were raised by the UEPC:

- Where would the center be housed and when would the Center open? The Wellness Center would be housed in space in the Weber building on the Stockton campus, following renovation. Depending on renovation, the Center would open approximately September 2000.
- What are the prospects for continued funding, beyond June 30, 2000? How will funding for the Center be sustained? R. Speed (Director, San Joaquin County Mental Health Services) assures that he expects continued funding for the next 3-4 years (from state funds earmarked for supportive services to the CalWorks population). Additional funding from grants and foundations will be sought within a few years to widen the focus of the Center to include services to persons and populations other than CalWorks.
- To what extent is CSU Stanislaus going to be involved in instruction at the Center? There will be no faculty involvement, at least at the present time. Part of the budget includes monies for contracting within the community, as well as employees within CalWorks.
- How will the accountability structure be developed? The accountability structure will be built into the assessment tools.
- The conceptualization of The Wellness Center needs to be very well defined—establish as a center within the university or as a separate entity?
- The organizational structure needs to be clearly defined.
- Item C.1. The term “comprehensive individualized assessment” should be clearly defined.
- Item C2. There should be more discussion in focus groups to separate the direct service versus the educational framework.
- C. Floyd would like to serve on the advisory board for The Wellness Center.

The committee agreed that a correspondence should be forwarded to C. Morgan and P. LaVeck addressing some key concerns of the committee.

4. UEPC meetings: The meeting scheduled on Thursday, April 13, will be canceled. Committee members are asked to hold Thursday, May 11, as a possible meeting date.

IX. Adjournment. The meeting adjourned at 3:21 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jeanne Elliott
Recording Secretary

:je