

2009/10 Academic Program Review Workshop
March 20, 2009
1:00-3:00 p.m. in MSR 130C

Participants

Diana Demetrulias, Vice Provost

College of the Arts – Daryl Moore, Dean

Art (BA, BFA) – Gordon Senior, Department Chair

College of Education – Ruth Fassinger, Dean

Physical Education (BA) – Sue Fletcher, Department Chair

College of Human and Health Sciences – Gary Novak, Dean

Child Development (BA) – Rita Asher, Program Director

College of Humanities and Social Sciences – Carolyn Stefanco, Dean

Agricultural Studies (BA) – Mark Bender, Department Chair

Geography (BA) – Jennifer Helzer, Program Director

Gerontology (Minor) – Paul O'Brien, Department Chair

History (BA, MA) – Bret Carroll, Program Director for the MA and future Department Chair

Permaculture (Minor) – Ida Bowers, Program Director

Sociology (BA) – Paul O'Brien, Department Chair

College of Natural Sciences – Roger McNeil, Dean

Computer Science (BS) – Tom Carter, Department Chair

Interdisciplinary Studies (MA, MS) – Dennis Sayers, Program Director

Angel Sanchez, Director of Institutional Research

1. Welcome
2. Overview of the Academic Program Review Process (**Vice Provost**)
 - a. Importance (campus, CSU system, accreditation)
 - b. Criteria
 - c. Timeline
 - d. College and Dean Recommendations
 - e. Provost's APR meetings
3. Assessment – Internal and External (**Vice Provost**)
 - a. Emphasis on analytical and evidentiary (not merely descriptive) narrative
 - b. Annual program assessment of student learning outcomes through Program Assessment Coordinator/departmental reports
 - c. Direct and indirect methods; evaluation of students' achievement of expected levels of learning on specific dimensions
 - d. External Reviewers
4. Institutional Research Data and Support (**Director of Institutional Research**)
5. Questions

Break-out into College specific discussion groups (MSR 130C and MSR 130B available)

6. College APR Processes (**Dean**)
 - a. Imperative to adhere to schedule/timeline – due date February 1, 2010, to dean
 - b. Importance of faculty participation in review process
 - c. Expectations, Special Emphases
 - d. College Committee review procedures

:rle 3/19/09